

RELATIVE GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY AND UNIVERSAL MODULI SPACES

YI HU*

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Equivariant theory of moment maps	3
2. G -Effective ample cone	7
3. A relative GIT: first cases	11
4. A relative GIT: general cases	15
5. Generalized Kempf-Ness's theorem	19
6. Configuration spaces and Grassmannians	21
7. Quest for universal moduli spaces	25
8. The universal moduli space $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$	27
9. The universal Hilbert scheme Hilb_g^n	29
References	31

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. Motivation: the universal moduli problems. The motivation of this paper is to lay a GIT ground and to apply it to the so-called universal moduli problems such as the following ones.

1. The universal moduli space $\overline{FM}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of Fulton-MacPherson configuration spaces of stable curves. That is, given a stable curve $[C]$ in $\overline{M}_g$, the fiber in the universal moduli space will be $C[n]/\text{Aut}(C)$, the Fulton-MacPherson configuration space for the curve C modulo the automorphism group of C . (See also [26])
2. The compactified universal Picard $\overline{P}_g^d \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of degree d line bundles ([5]).
3. The universal moduli space $\overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ of p -semistable parabolic sheaves of degree e , rank r , type F , and weight α ([12]).
4. The universal moduli space $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of p -semistable coherent sheaves of pure dimension 1 with a fixed Hilbert polynomial P such that the fiber over a stable curve $[C]$ is functorially identified with Simpson's moduli space $M_C(\mathcal{O}_C, P)$ of p -semistable coherent sheaves over C of the Hilbert polynomial P modulo the automorphism group of C .

Date: April 16, 1995.

*Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS 9401695.

The construction of the universal moduli space $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$, as described above, bears a straightforward generalization to the universal moduli over the moduli spaces of higher dimensional varieties (e.g., surfaces of general type, Clabi-Yau 3-folds, etc, see [12]) because Simpson's construction [28] works for any projective scheme.

5. The universal Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of 0-dimensional subschemes of length n on the Mumford-Deligne stable curves such that the fiber over a stable curve $[C]$ is canonically the Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional subschemes of length n on C modulo the automorphism group of C . Moreover, when $P(x) = x+n+1-g$ there exists a canonical dominating morphism

$$\psi : \text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow M_g(\mathcal{O}, P).$$

0.2. Relative GIT. All these universal moduli problems correspond to the following GIT setup which we shall call Relative GIT.

We have a projective map

$$\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$$

and an epimorphism of two reductive algebraic groups

$$\rho : G' \longrightarrow G.$$

We assume that $\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$ is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism $\rho : G' \longrightarrow G$.

Question RGIT. Given a linearization L on X and the GIT quotient $X^{ss}(L)//G$, find a linearization M on Y and the GIT quotient $Y^{ss}(M)//G'$ so that $Y^{ss}(M)//G'$ factors naturally to $X^{ss}(L)//G$.

Using the relation between GIT and moment maps, we solved in this paper the Question RGIT. In the case when $X^{ss} = X^s$ (which is the case for $\overline{M}_g$), the question has a particularly nice solution: the stable locus $X^{ss} = X^s$ downstairs essentially determines the stable locus $Y^{ss}(M) = Y^s(M)$ upstairs. These results are contained in §§3 and 4.

The solution to Question RGIT leads to a unified and easy approach to all *those* universal moduli problems where the base moduli spaces have satisfactory GIT constructions (e.g., $\overline{M}_g$). In particular, with the aid of Simpson's approaches to the moduli of coherent sheaves over projective schemes ([28]), our construction of the universal moduli space

$$M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \longrightarrow \overline{M}_g$$

is much shorter than the approaches for the similar moduli problems in [5] and [25]. See §§8 and 9.

0.3. Kollár's Approaches (as opposed to the traditional GIT [2]). The solution of Question RGIT resembles Kollár's approaches to algebraic quotient spaces (cf. Conjecture 1.1 of [22]). Now, one should ask how to do all of the above via Kollár's approaches ([22] and [19]). Theorem 2.14 of [22] sheds

lights on this question. But the insistence on the étaleness of the equivariant map is too restrictive for universal moduli. There are something more on the relative quotients that one can do with Kollár's approaches and in many cases Kollár's approaches are easier to apply than GIT. In light of the fact that many moduli spaces of higher dimensional varieties have no satisfactory GIT constructions, some alternatives are necessary in order to build universal moduli spaces effectively (for example, over the moduli space of surfaces of general type [21]). This quest even includes the case of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ which so far has no (satisfactory) GIT construction. We will return to these topics in an upcoming paper [12].

Acknowledgements The prototype of RGIT has appeared in [2], [28], [27], and [25]. The author happily acknowledges some helpful conversations and/or correspondence with Aaron Bertram, Laurant Ein, David Gieseker, János Kollár, Eyal Markman on quotients and/or moduli spaces, and useful comments from Lev Borisov. Thanks are due to Robert Lazarsfeld for informing him of the references on limit linear series. He also thanks John Millson for many interesting communications on moduli spaces of polygons. Finally, he appreciates the encouragement and support from Dan Burns, Ching-Li Chai, Igor Dolgachev, Victor Guillemin, Jun Li, Ralf Spatzier, Alex Uribe, and S.T. Yau during the course of this work.

I. Relative GIT

1. EQUIVARIANT THEORY OF MOMENT MAPS

1.1. A *moment map* for a symplectic action of a compact Lie group K on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) with a symplectic form ω is a smooth map

$$\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

where $\mathfrak{k}^*$ is the linear dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of K , satisfying the following two properties:

1. Φ is equivariant with respect to the given action of K on M and the co-adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{k}^*$;
2. for any $a \in \mathfrak{k}$, $d\Phi(\xi) \cdot a = \omega(\xi, \xi_a)$ for all vector fields ξ on M , where ξ_a is the vector field generated by a .

The equation in (ii) determines the moment map by an additive constant in the set $\mathfrak{z}^*$ of the *central elements* of $\mathfrak{k}^*$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{z}^*$ = the set of invariants of the K -coadjoint action = the linear dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}$ of the center group of K).

When a moment map exists, we call the the symplectic action a Hamiltonian action and the symplectic form ω a $(K-)$ Hamiltonian symplectic form.

Fix a maximal torus T_k of K . We shall use T to denote the complexification of T_k . Let $\hbar_+^*$ is a positive Weyl chamber in the linear dual $\hbar^*$ of the

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the fixed maximal torus T_k of K . Since $\mathfrak{h}_+^*$ parametrizes the orbit space of the coadjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{k}^*$, we obtain, by quotienting the K -adjoint action, the so-called reduced moment map

$$\Phi_{\text{red}} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_+^*.$$

1.2. Although a Hamiltonian symplectic form ω only determines the moment map up to an additive central element in $\mathfrak{k}^*$. However, as pointed out by Atiyah [3], there is always a *canonical choice*, Φ^ω , the one such that

$$\int_M \Phi^\omega \omega^{\frac{1}{2} \dim M} = 0.$$

Such a moment map will be called the canonical moment map associated to ω . All other moment maps defined by ω have the form $\tilde{\Phi}^\omega = \Phi^\omega - \mu$ where $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega, \mu)$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{z}$ (consult 2.4 in the sequel).

Remark 1.3. For any invariant closed subset Z in M (possibly singular), one can simply define the moment map for the action of K on Z to be the restriction of the total moment map, for which we shall still use the same notation Φ if no confusion should emerge.

1.4. Given any value p of a moment map Φ , the orbit space $\Phi^{-1}(O_p)/K$, which is called the symplectic reduction at p , carries a natural induced symplectic structure away from singularities ([24]). Here O_p is the coadjoint orbit through p .

1.5. Now we shall consider equivariant maps and the relations between their induced moment maps.

Let Y and X be smooth projective complex algebraic varieties acted on by complex reductive algebraic group G' and G respectively. Consider an algebraic surjection

$$\pi : Y \longrightarrow X$$

that is equivariant with respect to a group epimorphism (surjective homomorphism)

$$\rho : G' \longrightarrow G,$$

that is,

$$\pi(g' \cdot y) = \rho(g') \cdot \pi(y) \text{ for all } y \in Y \text{ and } g' \in G'.$$

One can choose a maximal subgroup K of G and a maximal subgroup K' of G' so that ρ restricts to a group homomorphism (still denoted by ρ) $\rho : K' \longrightarrow K$ and $\rho : G' \longrightarrow G$ is the complexification of $\rho : K' \longrightarrow K$.

1.6. Symplectic (Kähler) forms and line bundles on Y will be denoted by letters η and M , while symplectic (Kähler) forms and line bundles on X will be denoted by ω and L .

1.7. Let ω be a symplectic (Kähler) form on X . Then $\eta_0 = \pi^*\omega$ is a closed two form on Y . We'd like to define a moment map for this degenerated two form η_0 . The trick is to use nearby moment maps and then take the limit. Let $\eta(t), t \in (0, \epsilon]$ be a continuous path of symplectic (Kähler) forms on Y such that $\eta_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \eta(t)$. Here ϵ is a small positive number. (This can be done because $\eta_0 = \pi^*\omega$ lies on the boundary of the Kähler cone for Y .) Define

$$\Phi^{\eta_0}(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi^{\eta(t)}(y)$$

where $\Phi^{\eta(t)}$ are the canonical moment maps for $\eta(t)$.

Proposition 1.8. *Keep the notations of 1.7. Then we have*

1. Φ^{η_0} is a K' -equivariant differentiable map;
2. Φ^{η_0} satisfies the differential equation

$$d\Phi^{\eta_0}(\xi) \cdot a = \eta_0(\xi, \xi_a^Y)$$

for every vector field $\xi \in TY$ and $a \in \mathfrak{k}'$, where ξ_a^Y is the vector field on Y generated by the element a ;

3. Φ^{η_0} is constant on every fiber of π .

Proof. The equivariance of the map Φ^{η_0} is obvious. Now since $X \times [0, \epsilon]$ is compact, we have that $\Phi^{\eta(t)}$ converges uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\Phi^{\eta_0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi^{\eta(t)}$ is differentiable. This proves (i). Next, given any fixed vector field $\xi \in TY$ and fixed $a \in \mathfrak{k}'$, we have

$$d\Phi^{\eta(t)}(\xi) \cdot a = \eta(t)(\xi, \xi_a)$$

for all $t \in (0, \epsilon]$. Passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$d\Phi^{\eta_0}(\xi) \cdot a = \eta_0(\xi, \xi_a).$$

This proves (ii). To show (iii), picking any $\xi \in T\pi^{-1}(x) \subset TY$ for any $x \in X$. Recall that $\eta_0 = \pi^*\omega$. Now we must have

$$d\Phi^{\eta_0}(\xi) \cdot a = \eta_0(\xi, \xi_a) = \pi^*\omega(\xi, \xi_a) = \omega(d\pi(\xi), d\pi(\xi_a)) = 0$$

since $d\pi(\xi) = 0$. Because a is an arbitrary element in $\mathfrak{k}'$, we obtain $d\Phi^{\eta_0}(\xi) = 0$. This implies that Φ^{η_0} is constant along every fiber of π . The proposition is thus proved. $\square$

Remark 1.9. The map Φ^{η_0} may be considered as a moment map defined by the (pre-symplectic) form η_0 . As the limit of some (true) moment maps, it enjoys a number of properties of the usual momentum mapping such as the convexity. In general, however, a moment map defined by a pre-symplectic form may have much more complicated image that needs not to be convex (see [15]).

1.10. Choose a K -equivariant metric on $\mathfrak{k}$ and a K' -equivariant metric on $\mathfrak{k}'$ respectively so that $d\rho$ preserves the metrics. Then these two metrics lead two natural isomorphisms $\mathfrak{k} \cong \mathfrak{k}^*$ and $\mathfrak{k}' \cong \mathfrak{k}'^*$, making the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{k}'^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{k}' \\
\uparrow (d\rho)^* & & \downarrow d\rho \\
\mathfrak{k}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{k}
\end{array}$$

where $d\rho$ is the differential of the map ρ and $(d\rho)^*$ is the codifferential of the map ρ , i.e., the linear dual of the differential $d\rho$.

Theorem 1.11. *The map Φ^{η_0} descends to the moment map Φ^ω for the action of K on X with respect to the symplectic form ω . In particular, we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\eta_0}} & \mathfrak{k}'^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{k}' \\
\downarrow \pi & \nearrow \Psi^{\eta_0} & \uparrow (d\rho)^* & & \downarrow d\rho \\
X & \xrightarrow{\Phi^\omega} & \mathfrak{k}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{k}
\end{array}$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.8 (3), the map Φ^{η_0} descends to a well-defined differentiable map Ψ^{η_0} from X to $\mathfrak{k}'^*$ such that $\Phi^{\eta_0} = \Psi^{\eta_0} \circ \pi$. Define Φ^ω to be the composition:

$$\Phi^\omega : X \xrightarrow{\Psi^{\eta_0}} \mathfrak{k}'^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{k}' \xrightarrow{d\rho} \mathfrak{k} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{k}^*.$$

Clearly, this is a differentiable map, and we have that $\Psi^{\eta_0} = (d\rho)^* \Phi^\omega$.

To show that Φ^ω is equivariant with respect to the given K -action on X and the coadjoint action on $\mathfrak{k}^*$, take any element $k' \in K'$ and $y \in Y$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^\omega(\rho(k') \cdot \pi(y)) &= \Phi^\omega(\pi(k' \cdot y)) = d\rho \circ \Psi^{\eta_0}(\pi(k' \cdot y)) \\
&= d\rho \Phi^{\eta_0}(k' \cdot y) = d\rho \text{Ad}(k') \cdot \Phi^{\eta_0}(y) = \text{Ad}(\rho(k')) d\rho \Phi^{\eta_0}(y) \\
&= \text{Ad}(\rho(k')) d\rho \Psi^{\eta_0}(\pi(y)) = \text{Ad}(\rho(k')) \Phi^\omega(\pi(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the identity $d\rho \text{Ad}(k') = \text{Ad}(\rho(k')) d\rho$ coming from the equivariance of the homomorphism ρ . Using the fact that both π and ρ are surjective, we obtain

$$\Phi^\omega(k \cdot x) = \text{Ad}(k) \Phi^\omega(x), \text{ for all } k \in K \text{ and } x \in X.$$

To check that it satisfies the differential equation in the definition of a moment map (1.1 (2)), notice that $d\pi : TY \rightarrow TX$ is surjective everywhere but on a lower dimensional locus and $d\pi(\xi_{a'}^Y) = \xi_{d\rho(a')}^X$ for any $a' \in \mathfrak{k}'$ because π is ρ -equivariant, where again, $\xi_{a'}^Y$ is the vector field on Y generated by $a' \in \mathfrak{k}'$, while $\xi_{d\rho(a')}^X$ is the vector field on X generated by $d\rho(a') \in \mathfrak{k}$. Now for any $\xi \in TY$ and $a' \in \mathfrak{k}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(d\pi \xi, \xi_{d\rho(a')}^X) &= \omega(d\pi \xi, d\pi(\xi_{a'}^Y)) = \pi^* \omega(\xi, \xi_{a'}^Y) = \eta_0(\xi, \xi_{a'}^Y) \\
&= d\Phi^{\eta_0}(\xi) \cdot a' \quad (\text{because of 1.8 (2)}) \\
&= d\Psi^{\eta_0} d\pi(\xi) \cdot a' \quad (\text{because } \Phi^{\eta_0} = \Psi^{\eta_0} \circ \pi) \\
&= (d\rho)^* d\Phi^\omega d\pi(\xi) \cdot a' \quad (\text{because } \Psi^{\eta_0} = (d\rho)^* \Phi^\omega)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= d\Phi^\omega(d\pi\xi) \cdot d\rho(a') \quad (\text{because of 1.10}).$$

By the surjectivity of $d\rho$, this means that the differential equation

$$d\Phi^\omega(\xi^X) \cdot a = \omega(\xi^X, \xi_a^X)$$

holds for vector fields $\xi^X \in TX$ almost everywhere. Thus by continuity, it holds for all ξ^X in TX everywhere. That is, Φ^ω is a moment map for the action of K on X with respect to the symplectic form ω . Since $\Phi^{\eta(t)}$ are the canonical moment maps for $\eta(t)$, it is easy to check that Φ^ω , as the limit of $\Phi^{\eta(t)}$, is the canonical moment map for ω . $\square$

1.12. Finally, we remark that when $G' = G$, the above implies there is a deformation of moment maps

$$\Phi^{\eta(t)} : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

from $\Phi^{\eta(\epsilon)} : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ to $\Phi^\omega : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, where $\Phi^\omega(X) = \Phi^{\eta_0}(Y)$. When $\dim G' > \dim G$, the dimension of $\Phi^\omega(X)$ is less than those of $\Phi^{\eta(t)}(Y)$ ($t \in (0, \epsilon]$). In this case, we say that Φ^ω is a degeneration of $\Phi^{\eta(t)}$ ($t \in (0, \epsilon]$).

2. G -EFFECTIVE AMPLE CONE

Much of what follows is taken from [6] and [11].

2.1. In this section we assume that X is a smooth projective complex algebraic variety¹ over $\mathbb{C}$ acted on by a reductive group G with a fixed maximal compact form K .

Theorem 2.2. (*cf. Theorem 2.3.6, [6].*) *Let X be a smooth projective variety acted on by a reductive complex algebraic group G . Let ω and ω' be two K -equivariant Kähler forms. Suppose that ω is cohomological equivalent to ω' . Then $\Phi^\omega = \Phi^{\omega'}$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that for Theorem 2.3.6, [6]. $\square$

2.3. Let X is a smooth projective variety. We set $\mathfrak{K}^G(X)$ to be the collection of all K -equivariant Kähler forms that are compatible with the algebraic G -action modulo cohomological equivalence. Theorem 2.2 says that there is a well-defined canonical moment map $\Phi^{[\omega]}$ for each element $[\omega]$ of $\mathfrak{K}^G(X)$. For notational simplicity, we shall omit the use the bracket “[]” when it is not likely to cause confusion.

¹We point out that much of results in this section can be extended to Kähler category (i.e., X being Kähler manifolds only). Since our primary applications will be algebraic moduli spaces, we are content with working in the category of algebraic varieties.

2.4. When X is smooth, set $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ to be the collection of all pairs consisting of an element in $\mathfrak{K}^G(X)$ and a moment map defined by it. Thus

$$\mathfrak{M}^G(X) \cong \mathfrak{K}^G(X) \times \mathfrak{z}^*.$$

We shall adopt the following conventional scheme: $\tilde{\omega}$ denotes an element in $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ with its underlying (Hamiltonian) Kähler form symbolized by $\omega \in \mathfrak{K}^G(X)$. Such a symbol $\tilde{\omega}$ will be referred as an enriched symplectic Kähler form. Thus an enriched symplectic (Kähler) form $\tilde{\omega}$ in $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ has the form $(\omega, \Phi^\omega - \mu)$ or simply (ω, μ) , where $\mu \in \mathfrak{z}^*$. We frequently write $\Phi^\omega - \mu$ by $\tilde{\Phi}^\omega$.

Remark 2.5. All of the results in §1 are valid without modification if the symplectic (Kähler) forms $(\omega$ and $\eta(t)$, etc.) are replaced by the enriched symplectic (Kähler) forms $(\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\eta}(t)$, etc.)

Remark 2.6. If X is (possibly) singular, we can set $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ to be the cone spanned by the images of all linearized ample line bundles in $\text{NS}^G(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ ([6]).

Definition 2.7. Assume that X is smooth. The G -effective ample cone is a subcone of $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{E}^G(X) = \{(\omega, \mu) \in \mathfrak{M}^G(X) \mid \mu \in \Phi^\omega(X)\};$$

Remark 2.8. When X is (possibly) singular, we define $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ to be the subcone of $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ that is spanned by the images of G -effective ample line bundles (that is, spanned by the ones such that $X^{ss}(L) \neq \emptyset$, see [6]). When X is actually smooth, the two definitions are equivalent ([11]).

2.9. $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ projects to $\mathfrak{K}^G(X)$ whose fiber at $\omega \in \mathfrak{K}^G(X)$ is the intersection of the moment map image $\Phi^\omega(X)$ with $\mathfrak{z}^*$. This fiber $\Phi^\omega(X) \cap \mathfrak{z}^* = \Phi_{red}^\omega(X) \cap \mathfrak{z}^*$ is a convex compact polytope. In fact, it is not hard to see that $\Phi^\omega(X) \cap \mathfrak{z}^*$ is the image of X under the *canonical* moment map attached to the induced action of the center group of K .

Remark 2.10. $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ may be an empty subset of $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$ when G is semisimple. For example, when $X = G/P$ is a generalized flag variety. However, $\mathfrak{E}^G(X \times G/B)$ is never empty. In particular, $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ is never empty when G is a torus.

2.11. Recall from [11] that for any point $x \in X$, we can define a (generalized Hilbert-Mumford) numerical function

$$M^\bullet(x) : \mathfrak{E}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

whose value $M^{\tilde{\omega}}(x)$ at $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ is defined as the *signed* distance from the origin to the boundary of $\tilde{\Phi}^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot x})$: it takes a positive value if 0 is outside

of $\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot x})$; it takes a nonpositive value otherwise. Using this numerical function we have the following criteria for (Kähler) semistabilities,

1. $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$ = the set of the semistable points with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$
 $= \{x \in X | M^{\tilde{\omega}}(x) \leq 0\};$
2. $X^s(\tilde{\omega})$ = the set of the stable points with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$
 $= \{x \in X | M^{\tilde{\omega}}(x) < 0\};$
3. $X^{us}(\tilde{\omega})$ = the set of the unstable (or non-semistable) points with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$
 $= \{x \in X | M^{\tilde{\omega}}(x) > 0\};$
4. (iv) $X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega})$ = the set of the strictly semistable points with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$
 $= X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}) \setminus X^s(\tilde{\omega}).$

Remark 2.12. When a group is needed to be specified, we will write $X_G^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$, $X_G^s(\tilde{\omega})$, etc. This applies especially when there is a reductive subgroup H of G . In this case, the restriction map $\mathfrak{M}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^H(X)$ (the H -moment map is obtained from the G -moment map by the orthogonal projection $\mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of a suitable compact form of H) induces a linearization in $\mathfrak{M}^H(X)$ for each linearization $\tilde{\omega}$ in $\mathfrak{M}^G(X)$. To specify this effect, we will write $X_H^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$ ($X_H^s(\tilde{\omega})$, etc) for the set of semistable (stable, etc) points for the action of H .

By the works of Kempf-Ness (for the algebro-geometric cases [16]) and Kirwan (for the Kähler generalizations [17]), there is a Hausdorff quotient topology on $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})//G$ such that it contains the orbit space $X^s(\tilde{\omega})/G$ as a dense open subset. It is a Kähler space and has a Kähler form induced from ω away from the singularities. Moreover, it is homeomorphic to the symplectic reduction $(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0)/K$.

Theorem 2.13. (*Kempf-Ness-Kirwan*) Let $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega, \mu)$ be an enriched Kähler form in $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$. Then $(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0) \subset X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$ and the inclusion induces a homeomorphism

$$(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0)/K \xrightarrow{\cong} X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})//G.$$

In case that $\tilde{\omega}$ is integral (i.e., coming from a linearized ample line bundle), then $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})//G$ carries a projective structure.

Remark 2.14. The G -effective ample cone takes care of symplectic reductions at central values of $\mathfrak{k}^*$ and identifies them with Kähler quotients of X by the complex reductive group G . To include symplectic reductions at non-central values, one has to consider the so-called enlarged moment cone (see [11]) and use the so-called shifting trick to identify them with the Kähler quotients on $X \times G/B$ by the diagonal action of G . The relative GIT for the morphisms $X \times G/B \rightarrow X \times G/P$ has been studied and linked to degenerated quotients of $X \times G/B$ in [11]. So, in this paper, we stick with just the G -effective ample cone.

2.15. The union of the zero sets of $M^\bullet(x) : \mathfrak{E}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all x with isotropy subgroups of positive dimensions equals the union $\mathcal{W}$ of all walls in $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ (see [6] and [11]). A connected component of $\mathfrak{E}^G(X) \setminus \mathcal{W}$ is a chamber. Linearizations in the same chamber define the same notion of stabilities.

We shall need the following results in the sequel.

2.16. Given any element $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathfrak{E}^G(X)$, hence a *unique* moment map $\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}} = \Phi$. We have a stratification, the momentum Morse stratification with respect to Φ , $X = \cup_{\beta \in \mathbf{B}} S_\beta$ induced by the norm square $\|\Phi\|^2$ of the moment map ([17]). The strata S_β and their indexes β can be described as follows:

$$S_\beta = \{x \in X \mid \beta \text{ is the unique closest point to } 0 \text{ of } \Phi_{\text{red}}(\overline{G \cdot x})\}.$$

Theorem 2.17. ([6], [11]) *There are only finitely many momentum Morse stratifications.*

Definition 2.18. ([11]) For any momentum Morse stratification of X , we choose precisely one stratum from it. Then the intersection of all the chosen strata is called a *thin* momentum Morse stratum provided that it is not empty. There are only finitely many such strata. The *thin* momentum Morse strata form a stratification of X .

Proposition 2.19. ([11]) *Two points in the same thin momentum Morse stratum give rise to the same numerical function $M^\bullet(x) : \mathfrak{E}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The proof is short. So we repeat it here (see [11]). Let x and y be two points of a *thin* momentum Morse stratum. Then by definition, for any $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathfrak{E}^G(X)$, x, y belong to the same momentum Morse stratum $S_{\beta(\tilde{\omega})}^{\tilde{\omega}}$ for some index $\beta(\tilde{\omega})$. That is, $M^{\tilde{\omega}}(x) = M^{\tilde{\omega}}(y) = \|\beta(\tilde{\omega})\|$ for all $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathfrak{E}^G(X)$. Hence

$$M^\bullet(x) = M^\bullet(y) : \mathfrak{E}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

□

Corollary 2.20. *There are only finitely many numerical functions*

$$M^\bullet(x) : \mathfrak{E}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

(And the points in the same *thin* momentum Morse stratum give rise to the same numerical function $M^\bullet(x)$.)

Remark 2.21. To close this section we make the following useful remark. We view the integral points in $\mathfrak{K}^G(X)$ ($\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$) as being induced from the Hodge metrics of (linearized) ample line bundles. We shall think of *these integral points and (linearized) ample line bundles interchangably*. By default, the Kähler quotients and maps associated to these integral points will be projective as in the traditional GIT cases.

3. A RELATIVE GIT: FIRST CASES

3.1. In the rest of the paper, unless specified otherwise, we shall assume that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a projective morphism between two (possibly singular) quasi-projective algebraic varieties that is equivariant with respect to an epimorphism $\rho : G' \rightarrow G$ between two reductive complex algebraic groups having fixed maximal compact subgroups K' and K , respectively.

3.2. Let G_0 be the kernel of ρ . Then we have

$$\{1\} \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

That is, up to a finite central extension, we may think of $G' = G_0 \times G$. Because GIT problems for a finite group is trivial (i.e., the orbit space is the natural solution to the quotient problems for a finite group action), to simplify the exposition, one may well assume that $G \cong G'/G_0$ is a subgroup of G' and $G' = G_0 G$.

Due to the above comments, the relative GIT problem for this case can be divided into two steps:

1. The fiberwise GIT problem: G_0 acts only on the fibers of $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$; (One may look at this from a slightly different point of view: Y/X is projective over the base scheme X . G_0/X is the trivial group scheme over X . G_0/X acts on Y/X . This viewpoint is helpful for some universal moduli problems.)
2. The G -equivariancy GIT problem: treat $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ as a G -equivariant map alone. Here we identify the quotient group G'/G_0 with the group G by the isomorphism induced by the epimorphism ρ and G acts on Y via this identification.

After those have been done, we can then put the two together and relate some properly chosen Y -quotient by G' to a given X -quotients by G .

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be a G_0 linearized relatively ample line bundle with respect to the morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. Let Y_x denote the fiber of π over a point $x \in X$ and M_x the restriction of M to Y_x . Then we have $Y_x^{ss}(M_x) = Y_x \cap Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$. In particular $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) = \cup_{x \in X} Y_x^{ss}(M_x)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.19 of [2]. See also [28]. $\square$

Consequently, since the categorical quotient is universal, one sees that the morphism $\pi : Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) \rightarrow X$ descends to a map

$$\tilde{\pi} : Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) // G_0 \rightarrow X$$

with fibers $Y_x^{ss}(M_x) // G_0$.

Proposition 3.4. *If M is a G' -linearized relatively ample line bundle with respect to the morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$, then the G' -action on Y descends to a $G'/G_0 = G$ -action on $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) // G_0$ making the map $\tilde{\pi} : Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) // G_0 \rightarrow X$ G -equivariant.*

Proof. First we need to show that $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$ is $G'/G_0 = G$ -invariant (recall that the quotient group G'/G_0 is identified with the group G by the isomorphism induced by the epimorphism ρ). Take a point $y \in Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$ and an element $g \in G$. Let $s \in \Gamma(Y, M^{\otimes n})^{G_0}$ be an G_0 -invariant section such that $Y_s := \{y' \in Y | s(y') \neq 0\}$ is affine and contains y (see Definition 1.7 in §4 of [2]). Set

$${}^g s(y') = gs(g^{-1}y')$$

for all $y' \in Y$. We claim that ${}^g s \in \Gamma(Y, M^{\otimes n})^{G_0}$. To see this, pick any element $g_0 \in G_0$. We have ${}^{g_0}({}^g s)(y') = g_0({}^g s)(g_0^{-1}y') = g_0gs(g^{-1}g_0^{-1}y') = g\hat{g}_0s(\hat{g}_0^{-1}g^{-1}y') = g(\hat{g}_0)s(g^{-1}y') = gs(g^{-1}y') = ({}^g s)(y')$ where $\hat{g}_0$ is an element in G_0 such that $g_0g = g\hat{g}_0$ (note that G_0 is a normal subgroup). This implies that ${}^{g_0}({}^g s) = {}^g s$, i.e., ${}^g s \in \Gamma(Y, M^{\otimes n})^{G_0}$. Clearly $Y_{{}^g s} = gY_s$ is affine and contains gy . That is, $gy \in Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$.

Since $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) \subset Y$ factors to X in a G_0 -equivariant way (G_0 acts trivially on X), by the universality of categorical quotient, we see that there is a naturally induced morphism $\tilde{\pi} : Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)//G_0 \rightarrow X$. Next it is straightforward to verify that the G -action on $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$ passes naturally to the quotient and the G -equivariancy of $\pi : Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) \rightarrow X$ implies the G -equivariancy of $\tilde{\pi} : Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)//G_0 \rightarrow X$. $\square$

3.5. Now let us consider the G -equivariancy GIT problems. That is, we are going to treat morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ as a G -equivariant map only. Our finest results in this section lie in the case when $X^{ss}(L) = X^s(L)$. This is what we shall sometimes refer as “good cases”.

3.6. We begin with assuming that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a projective morphism between two smooth projective varieties. Let $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega, \mu)$ be an enriched K -equivariant Kähler form on X and $\tilde{\eta}_0 = \pi^*\tilde{\omega} = (\pi^*\omega, \mu)$ be an enriched closed K -equivariant two form on Y . Let $\tilde{\eta} : (0, \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}^G(Y)$ be a continuous path in $\mathfrak{E}^G(Y)$ such that $\tilde{\eta}_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\eta}(t)$. As in 1.7, define

$$\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0}(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi^{\tilde{\eta}(t)}(y).$$

Then we have

Theorem 3.7. *Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant projective morphism between two smooth projective varieties. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

1. *If $x = \pi(y)$ is stable in X w.r.t $\tilde{\omega}$, then y is stable in Y w.r.t. $\tilde{\eta}(t), t \in (0, \delta]$;*
2. *If $x = \pi(y)$ is non-semistable in X w.r.t $\tilde{\omega}$ then y is non-semistable in Y w.r.t. $\tilde{\eta}(t), t \in (0, \delta]$;*
3. *$Y^s(\tilde{\eta}(t)) \supset \pi^{-1}(X^s(\tilde{\omega}))$ and $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}(t)) \subset \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}))$ for $t \in (0, \delta]$.*

Proof. Let $Y = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, d} S_i$ be the thin momentum Morse stratification of Y (see Definition 2.18). By Corollary 2.20, it suffices to consider some fixed

representing elements in $S_i, i = 1, \dots, d$. For any $1 \leq i \leq d$, if $\pi(S_i) \subset X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$, set $\delta_i = 1$.

Otherwise, pick up some representing elements $y_i \in S_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$.

If $x_i = \pi(y_i)$ is stable in X , then $0 \in \text{int}(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot x_i}))$. By the remarks in 1.12, a small deformation of $\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot x_i})$ should still contains the origin in its interior. That is, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that $0 \in \text{int}(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}(t)}(\overline{G \cdot y_i}))$ for $t \leq \delta_i$. Thus y_i is stable in Y w.r.t. $\tilde{\eta}(t)$ for $t \leq \delta_i$.

If $x_i = \pi(y_i)$ is non-semistable in X , then 0 is outside of $\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot x_i})$. By the similar deformation argument as above, the same is true for its small deformations. This is, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that $0 \notin \text{int}(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}(t)}(\overline{G \cdot y_i}))$ for $t \leq \delta_i$. In other words, y_i is non-semistable in Y w.r.t. $\tilde{\eta}(t)$ for $t \leq \delta_i$.

Now choose $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d, \epsilon\}$, the above implies both (1) and (2).

(3) follows from (2). $\square$

Remark 3.8. When $x = \pi(y)$ is strictly semistable, i.e., the origin is contained on the boundary of $\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot x})$, the stability of y depends on the direction of deformation. So in general, all three possible situations (stable, strictly semistable, non-semistable) may happen.

3.9. In keeping with the theme of the traditional GIT, consider the case that $\tilde{\omega}$ is an integral form induced from an ample linearized line bundle L on X . The pullback π^*L is only a nef linearized line bundle on Y inducing the 2-form $\tilde{\eta}_0 = \pi^*\tilde{\omega}$. To get an ample linearized line bundle on Y , we need to choose an arbitrary *relatively* ample linearized line bundle M on Y and take a sufficiently large tensor power of π^*L . That is, $\pi^*L^n \otimes M$ is ample for $n \gg 0$ ([1]). Set fractional linearizations $M_n = \frac{1}{n}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)$. Clearly, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = \pi^*L$. The purpose of this scheme is two fold: to get (fractional multiples of) *ample* linearized line bundles M_n on Y ; and to make π^*L and $M_n = \frac{1}{n}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)$ sufficiently close for sufficiently large n .

We need the following easy lemma

Lemma 3.10. *Let G act on X whose action is linearized by L and $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a G -invariant closed embedding linearized by i^*L . Then $Z^{ss}(i^*L) = Z \cap X^{ss}(L)$ and $Z^s(i^*L) = Z \cap X^s(L)$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 1.19 of [2]. $\square$

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant projective morphism between two (possibly singular) quasi-projective varieties. Given any linearized ample line bundle L on X , choose a relatively ample linearized line bundle M on Y . Then there exists n_0 such that when $n \geq n_0$, we have*

1. $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \subset \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L))$;
2. $Y^s(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \supset \pi^{-1}(X^s(L))$

If in addition, $X^{ss}(L) = X^s(L)$, then

3. $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) = Y^s(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) = \pi^{-1}(X^s(L)) = \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L))$. In particular, $\pi^*L^n \otimes M$ lie in the same chamber of $\mathfrak{E}^G(Y)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Proof. Given a linearized ample line bundle L on X , and a relatively linearized ample line bundle M for $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$, by [1], there exists m_0 such that when $m \geq m_0$, L^m and $\pi^*L^{\otimes m} \otimes M$ are very ample. Consider some equivariant projective embeddings induced from L^m and $\pi^*L^{\otimes m} \otimes M$ (for some $m \geq m_0$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^{N'} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^{N'} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \text{proj} \\ X & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^N \end{array}$$

We may think L^m as the pull back of a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$. By 3.9, there exists $d_0 > 0$ such that when $d \geq d_0$, Theorem 3.7 can be applied to the G -equivariant projection $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^{N'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ with respect to the linearizations $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^N$ and $\frac{1}{d}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N'}}(1))$ on $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^{N'}$, respectively. Now restricting everything to $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ (see Lemma 3.10), we see that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ shares all the properties as in Theorem 3.7. This proves (1) and (2).

All of (3) follows immediately from (1) and (2). $\square$

Remark 3.12. In the case that M is ample and X and Y are projective, Theorem 3.11 is largely due to Z. Reichstein ([27]) whose proof is completely different. But we only need to assume that M is *relatively* ample and X and Y are quasi-projective. We emphasize the practical convenience that can result from the *relative* ampleness of the line bundle M , because in constructing moduli spaces, relative projective embeddings of relative Hilbert schemes have been constructed very explicitly by Grothendieck (hence are ready to use), whereas absolute projective embeddings will not be offhand.

Theorem 3.13. *Keep the assumption as in Theorem 3.11. Then the inclusion $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \subset \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L))$ induces a projective morphism*

$$\hat{\pi} : Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) // G \longrightarrow X^{ss}(L) // G$$

such that

1. $\hat{\pi}^{-1}([G \cdot x]) \cong \pi^{-1}(x)/G_x$ for any $x \in X^s(L)$;
2. if π is a fibration, $X^{ss}(L) = X^s(L)$, and G acts freely on $X^s(L)$, then $\hat{\pi}$ is also a fibration with the same fibers.

Proof. The morphism π restricts to give a morphism $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \rightarrow X^{ss}(L)$. This in turn induces an obvious morphism

$$Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \longrightarrow X^{ss}(L) \longrightarrow X^{ss}(L) // G.$$

Now by the universality of the categorical quotient, we get our desired induced morphism

$$\hat{\pi} : Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) // G \longrightarrow X^{ss}(L) // G.$$

To show (1), let $[G \cdot y_1]$ and $[G \cdot y_2]$ be arbitrary two points in $Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)//G$ that are mapped down to $[G \cdot x] \in X^s(L)//G$. Then we must have that $\pi(y_1), \pi(y_2) \in X^s(L)$. By Theorem 3.11 (2), $y_1, y_2 \in Y^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)$. Thus $\pi(G \cdot y_1) = \pi(G \cdot y_2) = G \cdot x$. Hence by applying some elements of G , we may assume that $y_1, y_2 \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. But, in this case, $[G \cdot y_1] = [G \cdot y_2]$ if and only if $y_2 = g \cdot y_1$ for some $g \in G$. This implies that $x = g \cdot x$. That is, $g \in G_x$. Hence we obtain that $\hat{\pi}^{-1}([G \cdot x]) \cong \pi^{-1}(x)/G_x$.

(2) follows from (1) immediately. $\square$

4. A RELATIVE GIT: GENERAL CASES

Now we begin to investigate the relation between G' -stability on Y and the G -stability on X .

Definition 4.1. A linearized pair (L, M) for the morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ consists of a G -linearized ample line bundle L over X and a G' -linearized π -ample line bundle M over Y .

Out of a linearized pair (L, M) , we can have two Zariski open subsets $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$ and $\pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L))$. Proposition 3.4 says that $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$ is G -invariant and hence G' -invariant. Obviously $\pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L))$ is G_0 -invariant, hence also G' -invariant.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (L, M) be a linearized pair for the morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. Then*

1. $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$;
2. $Y_{G_0}^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^s(M)$;

Proof. Notice that G_0 preserves the fibers of the morphism π . Thus we are in the position to apply Proposition 3.3. Given any point $x \in X$. Since the restricted line bundle $\pi^* L^n|_{Y_x}$ is trivial, we obtain $(Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}((\pi^* L^n \otimes M)|_{Y_x}) = (Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$. Now Proposition 3.3 implies that $Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M)$.

(2) follows from (1) because of the fact: a point is stable if and only if its isotropy is finite and its orbit is closed in the semistable locus. $\square$

Again by 4.6.13 (ii) of [1], there exists an positive integer n_0 such that $\pi^* L^n \otimes M$ is ample when $n \geq n_0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $n \geq n_0$. Then*

1. $Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)$.
2. $Y^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)$.

Proof. By general nonsense, we have

$$Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \subset Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M).$$

To show the other way inclusion, notice that $G' = G_0 G$ and any 1-PS of G' can be written as $\lambda \lambda_0$ where λ is a 1-PS of G and λ_0 is a 1-PS of G_0 . Take

a point $y \in Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)$. Now by Corollary 2.15 in §3 of [2],

$$\mu^{\pi^* L^n \otimes M}(y, \lambda \lambda_0) \leq \mu^{M \otimes \pi^* L^n}(y, \lambda) + \mu^{\pi^* L^n \otimes M}(y, \lambda_0) \leq 0 + 0 = 0.$$

That is, $Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \supset Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)$. This shows (1).

To show (2), again by general nonsense,

$$Y^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \subset Y_{G_0}^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M).$$

Similar to the semistable case, the other way inclusion follows from the fact that $G' = GG_0$ and Corollary 2.15 in §3 of [2]. $\square$

Now we are in the position to state our main theorem on the comparisons of G' -semistabilities on Y and G -semistabilities on X .

Theorem 4.4. *Let (L, M) be a linearized pair for the morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. Then for sufficiently large n*

1. $Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \subset Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) \cap \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L))$.
2. $Y^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \supset Y_{G_0}^s(M) \cap \pi^{-1}(X^s(L))$
Assume in addition that $X^{ss}(L) = X^s(L)$, then
3. $Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) \cap \pi^{-1}(X^s(L))$.
4. $Y^s(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^s(M) \cap \pi^{-1}(X^s(L))$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.3 (1),

$$Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) = Y_{G_0}^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \cap Y_G^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M).$$

Then by Lemma 4.2 (1) and Theorem 3.11 (1) (see also Theorem 3.7 (3)), we have

$$Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M) \subset Y_{G_0}^{ss}(M) \cap \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L)).$$

This shows (1), as desired.

(2) also follows in a similar way from the combination of Lemma 4.3 (2), Lemma 4.2 (2), and Theorem 3.11 (2).

Now assume that $X^{ss}(L) = X^s(L)$. Then, similar to the above, (3) and (4) follow directly from the combination of Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Theorem 3.11. $\square$

Remark 4.5. When $\pi : Y \rightarrow \{\text{point}\}$ is the total contraction and ρ is the homomorphism $G \rightarrow \{1\}$, we have $L = \mathcal{O}_{\{\text{point}\}}$. In this case, the relative π -ampleness is equivalent to the absolute ampleness and the effect of the a linearized pair (L, M) is equivalent to that of the linearized ample line bundle M . Thus we recover the traditional (i.e., the absolute) GIT.

4.6. The structure of the quotient of $Y^{ss}(\pi^* L^n \otimes M)$ by the large group G' may be divided in two ways: mod out by the group G_0 first and then by the group G ; or the other way around. In the end, we would have to prove that the quotient is independent of the order of the two procedures. But we shall take a unified approach as follows.

Theorem 4.7. *Keep the assumption as in Theorem 4.4. Then the categorical quotient $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)//G'$ exists and there is a naturally induced morphism*

$$\hat{\pi} : Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)//G' \rightarrow X^s(L)//G.$$

Moreover, given an orbit $G \cdot x$ in $X^s(L)$, the fiber $\hat{\pi}^{-1}([G \cdot x])$ can be identified with the quotient $((Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}(M|_{Y_x})//G_0)G_x = (Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}(M|_{Y_x})//G_0G_x$ where G_x is the (finite) isotropy subgroup at the point x .

Proof. The idea of proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.13. We shall present the detail as follows.

By [2], the categorical quotient $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)//G'$ exists as a quasi-projective variety. By Theorem 4.4 (1), the morphism π restricts to a morphism (still denoted by π)

$$\pi : Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \rightarrow X^{ss}(L).$$

Thus we get an obvious induced morphism

$$\pi : Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \rightarrow X^{ss}(L) \rightarrow X^{ss}(L)//G.$$

Since a categorical quotient is a universal quotient ([2]), the above morphism passes to the quotient to give us the desired morphism

$$\hat{\pi} : Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)//G' \rightarrow X^s(L)//G.$$

To prove the rest of the statement, let $[G' \cdot y_1]$ and $[G' \cdot y_2]$ be arbitrary two points in $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)//G'$ that are mapped down to $[G \cdot x] \in X^s(L)//G$. Then we must have that $\pi(y_1), \pi(y_2) \in X^s(L)$. Hence $G \cdot \pi(y_1) = G \cdot \pi(y_2) = G \cdot x$. Thus we may well assume that $y_1, y_2 \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ by applying some elements of G to y_1 and y_2 if necessary. But, in this case, $[G' \cdot y_1] = [G' \cdot y_2]$ if and only if $G_x[G_0 \cdot y_1] = G_x[G_0 \cdot y_2]$ where G_x acts naturally on $(Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}(M_x)//G_0$ and $[G_0 \cdot y_1], [G_0 \cdot y_2]$ are regarded as points in $(Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}(M_x)//G_0$. This implies that $\hat{\pi}^{-1}([G \cdot x]) \cong (Y_x)_{G_0}^{ss}(M_x)//G_0G_x$. $\square$

We shall need the following technical theorem in our later study of universal moduli problems.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective morphism between two algebraic varieties and equivariant with respect to G -actions on both X and Y . Assume that with respect to some G -equivariant projective embedding, X is*

1. *contained in the stable locus; and*
2. *is closed in the semistable locus.*

Then there exists in addition a G -equivariant relative projective embedding for $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and an induced G -linearization such that Y is

1. *contained in the stable locus; and*
2. *is closed in the semistable locus. Moreover*
3. *Y/G factors naturally to X/G with the fiber over $[G \cdot x] \in X/G$ isomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(x)/G_x$ for $x \in X$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.13 by restricting everything to $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. $\square$

A more general technical theorem which we shall quote in studying moduli problems later is as follows:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective morphism between two algebraic varieties and equivariant with respect to a homomorphism $\rho : G' \rightarrow G$ where G' acts on Y and G acts on X . Assume that with respect to some G -equivariant projective embedding, X is*

1. *contained in the stable locus; and*
2. *is closed in the semistable locus.*

Then there exists in addition a ρ -equivariant relative projective embedding for $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and an induced G' -linearization such that Y is

1. *contained and closed in the G_0 -semistable locus;*
2. *contained and closed in the G -stable locus;*
3. *contained and closed in the G' -semistable locus;*
4. *$Y//G'$ factors naturally to X/G with the fiber over $[G \cdot x] \in X/G$ isomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(x)//G_0G_x$ for $x \in X$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.7 by restricting everything to $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. $\square$

Remark 4.10. In studying moduli problems, the above two theorems will allow us to avoid actually writing down a relative projective embedding (for a universal curve or a relative Quot scheme) which is sometimes technical and time consuming.

4.11. To close this section, let's look at an interesting special case. Assume that G acts on two projective varieties X and X_0 respectively and G_0 acts on X_0 only (acts on X trivially). Set $Y = X_0 \times X$ acted on by $G' = G_0 \times G$ where G_0 operates on the first factor only, while G acts diagonally. Thus the projection onto the first factor $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is equivariant with respect to the projection onto the second factor $G' \rightarrow G$. (This example has been worked out by R. Pandharipande in the case that $X_0 = \mathbf{P}(V)$ and $X = \mathbf{P}(W)$.) We begin with considering the diagonal action of G on $Y = X_0 \times X$. The GIT problem for G_0 on Y is the same as the GIT problem for G_0 on X_0 .

We have the following inclusion

$$\mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \otimes \mathrm{Pic}^G(X_0) \subset \mathrm{Pic}^G(X_0 \times X).$$

We shall concentrate on the linearizations coming from $\mathrm{Pic}^G(X) \otimes \mathrm{Pic}^G(X_0)$. Take $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^G(X)$ and $L_0 \in \mathrm{Pic}^G(X_0)$. By Theorem 3.11, we have that there exists m_0 such that when $m \geq m_0$,

1. $Y_G^{ss}(L_0 \otimes L^{\otimes m}) \subset \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(L)).$
2. $Y_G^s(L_0 \otimes L^{\otimes m}) \supset \pi^{-1}(X^s(L)).$

In case that $X^{ss}(L) = X^s(L)$, we have

$$Y_G^{ss}(L_0 \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = Y_G^s(L_0 \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = \pi^{-1}(X^s(L)) = X_0 \times X^s(L).$$

Clearly, this implies that when $L_0 \in \text{Pic}^{G'}(X_0)$

$$Y^{ss}(L_0 \otimes L^{\otimes m}) = (X_0)_{G_0}^{ss}(L_0) \times X^s(L).$$

So we get the induced morphism

$$\hat{\pi} : Y^{ss}(L_0 \otimes L^{\otimes m}) // G' \rightarrow X^s(L) // G$$

with the fiber isomorphic to $(X_0)_{G_0}^{ss}(L_0) // G_0 G_x$ at the point $[G \cdot x] \in X^s(L) // G$. This induced morphism $\hat{\pi}$ needs not to be a trivial fibration.

5. GENERALIZED KEMPF-NESS'S THEOREM

5.1. Again, we treat $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ as a G -equivariant morphism alone. Place ourselves in the situation of 3.6. According to Theorem 3.7, in the case that $X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega}) = \emptyset$, $\tilde{\omega}$ determines uniquely a semistable locus upstairs. This motivates:

Definition 5.2. Let $\tilde{\eta}_0$ be a polarization on the boundary of $\mathfrak{E}^G(Y)$ which is the pullback of $\tilde{\omega}$ by the morphism π . Assume that $X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega}) = \emptyset$. Then we set $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0) = Y^s(\tilde{\eta}_0) = \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}))$.

Lemma 5.3. *If $y \in (\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)$, then $G \cdot y \cap (\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0) = K \cdot y$.*

Proof. First observe that $y \in (\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)$ implies $\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\pi(y)) = 0$. That is, $\pi(y) \in (\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0)$.

We shall adopt the proof of Lemma 7.2 of [17]. Suppose that $g \in G$ is such that $g \cdot y \in (\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)$. We want to show that there exists an element $k \in K$ such that $g \cdot y = k \cdot y$. Since $\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0}$ is K -equivariant and $G = K \exp(i\mathfrak{k})$, we may assume that $g = \exp(ia)$, $a \in \mathfrak{k}$. or Lemma 7.2 of Set

$$h(t) = \Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0}(\exp(iat) \cdot y) \cdot a.$$

Then

$$h(t) = \Phi^{\tilde{\omega}} \circ \pi(\exp(iat) \cdot y) \cdot a.$$

$h(t)$ vanishes at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ because both y and $\exp(ia) \cdot y$ belong to $(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)$. Thus there must be a point $t \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h'(t) = d\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}} \circ d\pi(i(\xi_a^Y)_z) \cdot a = \omega(d\pi(i(\xi_a^Y)_z), (\xi_a^X)_{\pi(z)}) \\ &= \omega(i(\xi_a^X)_{\pi(z)}, (\xi_a^X)_{\pi(z)}) = \langle (\xi_a^X)_{\pi(z)}, (\xi_a^X)_{\pi(z)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $z = \exp(iat) \cdot y$. Hence $(\xi_a^X)_{\pi(z)} = 0$. Or, $a \in \text{Lie}(G_{\pi(z)})$. But

$$\pi(z) = \exp(iat) \cdot \pi(y) \in G(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0).$$

So $a = 0$ because $G_{\pi(z)}$ must be a finite group. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we have the following theorem which extends the Kempf-Ness theorem to the degenerated polarizations.

Theorem 5.4. (Generalized Kempf-Ness's Theorem) *Assume that $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}) = X^s(\tilde{\omega})$. Then*

1. $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0) = G(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)$;
2. *the topological quotient $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)/G$ is Hausdorff*;
3. *the inclusion $(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)$ induces a homeomorphism between $(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)/K$ and $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)/G$.*
4. $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)/G = (\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0)/K$ *inherits from η_0 a closed 2-form (which may be degenerated somewhere) away from singularities.*

Proof. (1). By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0) &= \pi^{-1}(X^s(\tilde{\omega})) = \pi^{-1}(G(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0)) = G\pi^{-1}(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}})^{-1}(0) \\ &= G(\Phi^{\tilde{\omega}} \circ \pi)^{-1}(0) = G(\Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0})^{-1}(0). \end{aligned}$$

(2). This follows from the fact that $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0) = Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}(t))$ for sufficiently small positive numbers t .

(3). (1) implies that the induced map $(\Phi^{\eta_0})^{-1}(0)/K \rightarrow Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)/G$ is surjective. Lemma 5.3 implies that it is injective. Now as a continuous bijection between Hausdorff spaces, it must be a homeomorphism.

(4) The proof is the same as the one for non-degenerated 2-forms ([24]). $\square$

Remark 5.5. In fact by Theorem 3.7, the quotient $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)/G$ admits a complex structure and many other non-degenerated 2-forms induced from $\tilde{\eta}(t), t \in (0, \delta]$ such that the 2-form induced from $\tilde{\eta}_0$ is the limit of the above.

Remark 5.6. When $X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega}) \neq \emptyset$, there is a difficulty in defining that

$$Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0) := \{y \in Y \mid 0 \in \Phi^{\tilde{\eta}_0}(\overline{G \cdot y})\} = \{y \in Y \mid 0 \in \Phi^{\tilde{\omega}}(\overline{G \cdot \pi(y)})\} = \pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})).$$

The problem is as follows. Let $x \in X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega})$ be a point such that $G \cdot x$ is closed in $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$. Then G_x is reductive and acts on the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)$. Since $\dim G_x > 0$, $\pi^{-1}(x)/G_x$ is non-Hausdorff. Thus one would like to exclude some points in $\pi^{-1}(x)$ from $Y^{ss}(\tilde{\eta}_0)$, presumably by using a non-degenerate closed 2-form on $\pi^{-1}(x)$. That is, one would like to pick up some semistability on $\pi^{-1}(x)$ for the action of G_x . The form η_0 is helpless in this regard since the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is exactly where η_0 vanishes. Hence among many choices of the semistabilities on $\pi^{-1}(x)$ for the action of G_x , we do not know, a priori, which to choose. Notice that the same ambiguity does not happen when $X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega}) = \emptyset$. In this case, the isotropy subgroup G_x for every $x \in X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$ is a finite group. $\pi^{-1}(x)/G_x$ is always a good quotient.

One of ways to solve (actually to pass) the problem of $X^{sss}(\tilde{\omega}) \neq \emptyset$ is as follows. Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{E}^G(X) > 1$ and it has at least one top chamber. Choose a linearization $\tilde{\omega}'$ in a top chamber that contains $\tilde{\omega}$ in its closure. We then have $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}') = X^s(\tilde{\omega}') \subset X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})$. Now $\pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}'))$ has a good complete quotient and one has natural maps

$$\pi^{-1}(X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}'))//G \rightarrow X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}')//G \rightarrow X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega})//G.$$

This helps to reduce the case when $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}) \neq \emptyset$ to the nicer case when $X^{ss}(\tilde{\omega}) = \emptyset$.

Remark 5.7. In general, the semistable set $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M)(n \gg 0)$ may be recovered as follows: Let $X_c^{ss}(L)$ be the set of closed orbits in $X^{ss}(L)$. Then

1. $Y_c^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) = \{y \in Y \mid y \in \pi^{-1}(X_c^{ss}(L)) \cap (Y_{\pi(y)})_c^{ss}(M_{\pi(y)})\}.$
2. $Y^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) = \{y \in Y \mid \overline{G \cdot y} \cap Y_c^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) \neq \emptyset\}.$
3. $Y^s(\pi^*L^n \otimes M) = \{y \in Y \mid y \in Y_c^{ss}(\pi^*L^n \otimes M), G_y \text{ is finite}\}.$

Remark 5.8. There are nef linearized line bundles that are not the pull-backs for any algebraic contraction maps. In this case, we do not know yet how to make sense of RGIT in the algebraic category. One possible alternative is to allow the contractions to be just complex analytic and work in the complex analytic category. The price paid is then the loss of algebraicity and the possible validity over positive characteristics.

6. CONFIGURATION SPACES AND GRASSMANNIANS

In this section, we shall present an application of our various RGIT theorems to some elementary finitely dimensional settings (as opposed to the later applications to the moduli problems).

6.1. Consider the Grassmannian of n -subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^m$, $\text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m)$, acted on by the maximal torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-1}$. Since $\text{Pic } \text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and is generated by an ample line bundle, the stabilities of linearized actions are determined by the characters of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-1}$. In fact, let $\Phi : \text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be the standard moment map induced by the Plücker embedding. Then the moment map image is the so called hypersimplex Δ_n^m

$$\Delta_n^m = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \mid 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \sum_j \alpha_j = n\}.$$

Thus we have that the T -effective ample cone $\mathfrak{E}^T(\text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m))$ can be identified with the cone over $\Phi(\text{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m)) = \Delta_n^m$.

6.2. On the other hand, consider the diagonal action of $G = \text{SL}(n)$ on $X = (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^m$

$$\text{SL}(n) \times (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^m.$$

We have $\text{Pic}^G(X) \cong \text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$. A nef line bundle

$$L \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(k_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(k_m), \quad k_i \geq 0$$

is G -effective (i.e., $X^{ss}(L) \neq \emptyset$) if and only if $nk_i \leq \sum_j k_j$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$. If we set $\alpha_i = nk_i / \sum_j k_j$, we can express this condition by the inequalities $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \sum \alpha_i = n$. This shows that the G -effective ample cone $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ is equivalent to the cone over the polytope

$$\Delta_n^m = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \sum \alpha_i = n\}.$$

6.3. Hence we obtain the identification $\mathfrak{E}^T(\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m)) = \mathfrak{E}^G((\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^m)$. Applying the 1-1 correspondence between the T -orbits on $\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m)$ and the G -orbits on $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^m$ ([8]), we can further obtain the identification between the T -GIT quotients on the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m)$ and the G -GIT quotients on $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^m$.

6.4. Notice that the underlying line bundle of any element in $\mathfrak{E}^T(\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m))$ is ample. Thus the boundary of $\mathfrak{E}^T(\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^m))$ consists of degenerating characters but with ample underlying line bundles. However, on the contrast, notice that the group $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ has no characters and the boundary of $\mathfrak{E}^G(X)$ consists of only *nef* line bundles. This shows an interesting phenomenon that the two sorts of degenerations can sometimes be harmoniously linked.

6.5. In the following, we shall use the above identifications freely in the rest of paper. One will see that one point of view sometimes has advantage over the other (and vice versa). We will use the above to exhibit our theorems in §§3 and 4. To simplify exhibition, we only consider the case when $n = 2$, i.e., the action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-1}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^m)$ and the action of $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$, leaving out some possible generalizations for $n > 2$ to a future paper.

6.6. From 6.3, we have

$$\mathfrak{E}^T(\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^m)) = \mathfrak{E}^G((\mathbb{P}^1)^m) = \Delta_2^m.$$

The walls of Δ_2^m are of the form

$$W_J = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \Delta_2^m \mid \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i = 1\}$$

where $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ is any proper subset. The faces of Δ_2^m but vertices can be obtained by setting some of the coordinates to be 0 or one coordinate to be 1. (If two coordinates are 1, we will get a vertex.) Thus they are divided into two types: the ones that are obtained by setting k coordinates to be 0 are again hypersimplexes Δ_2^{m-k} ; the ones that are obtained by setting $k-1$ coordinates to be 0 but one coordinate to be 1 become simplexes Δ_1^{m-k} .

In particular, there are in general two different types of facets (faces of codimension 1): Δ_2^{m-1} and Δ_1^{m-1} . Precisely, they are

$$\Delta_2^{m-1}[i] = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \Delta_2^m \mid \alpha_i = 0, \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j = 2\}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

$$\Delta_1^{m-1}[i] = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \Delta_2^m \mid \alpha_i = 1, \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j = 1\}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

6.7. Given an element $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \Delta_2^m$, we use $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^m$ to denote the corresponding (GIT or symplectic) quotient of either $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ by $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ or $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^m)$ by $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-1}$.

Remark 6.8. We point out that the (GIT or symplectic) quotients of these two actions admit some other interesting interpretations. First of all, they appear as the arithmetic quotients of complex balls (Deligne-Mostow). Secondly, they are also the moduli spaces of spatial polygons modulo orientation-preserving Euclidean motions ([18], [14]). Moreover, it is easy to see that the real points of these quotients are the trivial double covers (disjoint unions) of the moduli spaces of linkages in Euclidean plane modulo orientation-preserving Euclidean motions ([13] and [10]). Thus, as the sets of the real points of the symplectic quotients $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^m$, the topological changes of the moduli spaces of linkages with prescribed side lengths in the Euclidean plane when crossing walls are governed by the changes of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^m$ (cf. [10]). This is to say that two moduli spaces of linkages (without zero side lengths) in the Euclidean plane are related by a sequence of real blowup and blowdowns.

6.9. There are m many forgetful morphisms from $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{m-1}$. Use f_i to denote the forgetful map obtained by forgetting the i th factor from $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$. These are G -equivariant *trivial* fibrations with fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$. In terms of G -effective cones, f_i corresponds to the inclusion of the facet

$$\Delta_2^{m-1}[i] \subset \Delta_2^m$$

where $\Delta_2^{m-1}[i] \cong \Delta_2^{m-1}$ is obtained by setting the i th coordinate to be zero. Noting that $\mathcal{M}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, 0, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_m)}^m = \mathcal{M}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_m)}^{m-1}$, we have

Theorem 6.10. (cf. Theorem 2.1, [10]) Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \Delta_2^{m-1}$ do not lie on any wall and set

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\epsilon = (\alpha_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1} - \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}, \epsilon, \alpha_{i+1} - \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}, \dots, \alpha_m - \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}) \in \Delta_2^m$$

where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}_\epsilon}^m = \mathcal{M}_\alpha^{m-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.13 (2) to the forgetful map $f_i : (\mathbb{P}^1)^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^{m-1}$, one sees immediately that $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}_\epsilon}^m$ is a fibration over $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^{m-1}$ with fibers $\mathbb{P}^1$. The triviality follows from the fact that f_i is equivariantly trivial and $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ acts freely on any stable locus. $\square$

Remark 6.11. Using the remark in 6.8 about the real loci of the quotients $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^m$, Theorem 6.10 gives an alternative justification for Corollary 15 of [13].

Remark 6.12. In terms of Grassmannians, the forgetting map f_i corresponds to the (rational) projection from $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^m)$ to $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^{m-1})$ by projecting a 2-plane in $\mathbb{C}^m$ to the i th coordinate $(m-1)$ -hyperplane (the hyperplane is obtained by setting the i th coordinate to be zero).

6.13. To study the inclusion

$$\Delta_1^{m-1}[i] \subset \Delta_2^m$$

where $\Delta_1^{m-1}[i]$ is obtained by setting the i th coordinate to be 1, we switch our point of view from configuration spaces of points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ to Grassmannians. There are m many *rational* facet maps f_i from $\text{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^m)$ to $\text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{C}^{m-1}) = \mathbb{P}^{m-2}$ by taking the intersection of a 2-plane in $\mathbb{C}^m$ with the i th coordinate $(m-1)$ -hyperplane (the hyperplane is obtained by setting the i th coordinate to be zero). These are *truly* rational maps but are equivariant with respect to the projection ρ from the maximal torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-1} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^m / (\text{diagonal})$ to the quotient group T/T_1 where the 1-PS $T_1 = \{(0, \dots, \lambda, \dots, 0) | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ (modulo the diagonal group) acts trivially on $\text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{C}^{m-1}) = \mathbb{P}^{m-2}$. Any element of $\Delta_1^{m-1}[i]$ is of the form $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, 1, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_m)$. Since $T/T_1 = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m-2}$ acts on $\text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{C}^{m-1}) = \mathbb{P}^{m-2}$ with a dense open orbit, we see that $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^m$ is a point for such an element α .

Theorem 6.14. (*cf. Theorem 2.1, [10]*) Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, 1, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be any element in the interior of $\Delta_1^{m-1}[i]$ and set

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{1-\epsilon} = (\alpha_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}, \dots, \alpha_{i-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}, 1-\epsilon, \alpha_{i+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{m-1}, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{m-1})$$

where ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}_{1-\epsilon}}^m$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{m-3}$.

Proof. By Bialynicki-Birula's decomposition theorem [4], the fibers of the map f_i have the structures of T_1 -modules where T_1 acts with positive weights. Since T acts quasi-freely (no finite isotropy subgroups except for the identity group), all of these weights are 1. The theorem now follows from Theorem 4.7 and the fact that $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^m$ is a point. $\square$

Remark 6.15. In terms of configurations of points on $\mathbb{P}^1$, the facet map f_i correspond to the projection onto the i th factor ($1 \leq i \leq m$). The reason that we hesitated working over $(\mathbb{P}^1)^m$ is that the i th factor $(\mathbb{P}^1)$ has no stable points with respect to the action of $\text{SL}(2)$ although a quotient trivially exists.

II. Universal Moduli

7. QUEST FOR UNIVERSAL MODULI SPACES

7.1. Recall that one has the following coarse moduli spaces in the case of curves.

1. M_g , parameterizing nonsingular curves of genus $g \geq 2$ and its compactification $\overline{M}_g$, parameterizing Mumford-Deligne stable curves.
2. $M_{g,m}$, $2g - 2 + m > 0$, parameterizing nonsingular m -pointed curves of genus $g \geq 2$, and its compactification $\overline{M}_{g,m}$, parameterizing stable m -pointed curves.

It is well known that $\overline{M}_g$ and $\overline{M}_{g,m}$ are projective.

Precisely, the Mumford-Deligne stable curves (resp. m -pointed curves) are defined as follows:

Definition 7.2. Let S be a base scheme. A stable (resp. semistable) curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over S is a proper flat morphism $f : C \rightarrow S$ such that for all $s \in S$ the geometric fiber C_s of f over s , satisfies:

1. C_s is reduced, connected scheme of dimension 1 with $h^1(C_s, \mathcal{O}_{C_s}) = g$;
2. every singular point of C_s is an ordinary double point;
3. if C_1 is an irreducible rational component of C_s then C_1 meets the rest of C_s in at least 3 points (resp. 2 points).

Definition 7.3. A m -pointed curve stable (resp. semistable) curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over S is a proper flat morphism $f : C \rightarrow S$ together with m -distinct sections $s_i : S \rightarrow C$ such that for all $s \in S$ the geometric fiber C_s of f over s , satisfies:

1. C_s is reduced, connected scheme of dimension 1 with $h^1(C_s, \mathcal{O}_{C_s}) = g$;
2. every singular point of C_s is an ordinary double point;
3. all $s_i(s)$ are smooth points of C_s and $s_i(s) \neq s_j(s)$ for $i \neq j$;
4. if C_1 is an irreducible rational component of C then the number of points where C_1 meets the rest of C_s plus the number of points $s_i(s)$ on C_1 is at least 3 (resp. 2).

Rather than going through some abstract definitions of universal moduli spaces, let us go over (briefly) the concrete universal moduli problems listed in 0.1 of the introduction.

- 7.4.**
1. The universal moduli space $\overline{FM}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of Fulton-MacPherson configuration spaces of stable curves. (see also [26])
 2. The compactified universal Picard $\overline{P}_g^d \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of degree d line bundles ([5]).
 3. The universal moduli space $\overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ of p -semistable parabolic sheaves of degree e , rank r , type F , and weight α ([12]).

4. The universal moduli space $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of p -semistable coherent sheaves with a fixed Hilbert polynomial P . To be more precise, for each $[C] \in \overline{M}_g$, one has a natural projective variety, $M_C(\mathcal{O}_C, P)$, parameterizing (Simpson's) p -semistable coherent sheaves of a fixed Hilbert polynomial P . Our aim is to construct the universal moduli space $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ parametrizing the set of equivalence classes of pairs (C, E) where $[C] \in \overline{M}_g$ and $[E] \in M_C(\mathcal{O}_C, P)$ such that the fiber over the stable curve C is $M_C(\mathcal{O}_C, P)/\text{Aut}(C)$.
5. The universal Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ of 0-dimensional schemes of length n on the Mumford-Deligne stable curves. There exists a natural dominating morphism

$$\psi : \text{Hilb}_g^n \longrightarrow M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$$

when $P(x) = x + n + 1 - g$.

Remark 7.5. The last two cases in the above list are what we shall consider seriously in the sequel. The construction of the universal moduli space $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ will be done by using our RGIT.

Remark 7.6. The moduli problem in 7.4 (3) can be specified as follows. For each $[(C, p_1, \dots, p_m)] \in M_{g,m}$, one has a natural projective variety, $P_C(e, r, F, \alpha)$, parameterizing α -semistable vector bundles of degree e and rank r with quasi-parabolic structures of type F at points p_i . Let $P_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha)$ be the set of equivalence classes of pairs $((C, p_1, \dots, p_m), \mathcal{E})$ where $[(C, p_1, \dots, p_m)] \in M_{g,m}$ and $[\mathcal{E}] \in P_C(e, r, F, \alpha)$. Now it is natural to ask for a compactification $\overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha)$ of $P_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha)$ with the following desired properties (cf. [25]):

1. $\overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha)$ is a projective variety parameterizing equivalence classes of algebro-geometric objects.
2. $\overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha)$ contains $P_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha)$ as an open dense subset.
3. There is a natural morphism $\eta : \overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,m}$ such that the following natural diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & \overline{P}_{g,m}(e, r, F, \alpha) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ M_{g,m} & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{g,m} \end{array}$$

4. For each $[(C, p_1, \dots, p_m)] \in M_{g,m}$, there is an isomorphism

$$\eta^{-1}([(C, p_1, \dots, p_m)]) \cong P_C(e, r, F, \alpha)/\text{Aut}(C, p_1, \dots, p_m).$$

Because of the lack of satisfactory GIT construction of $\overline{M}_{g,m}$, we postpone treating this problem in a later publication [12].

8. THE UNIVERSAL MODULI SPACE $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$

8.1. *Simpson's construction of the moduli space of p -semistable coherent sheaves.* Let X be a projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ with a very ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. For any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over X , let $p(\mathcal{E}, n)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$ with $p(\mathcal{E}, n) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{E}(n))$ for $n \gg 0$. Let $d = d(\mathcal{E})$ be the dimension of the support of $\mathcal{E}$ which is also the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$. The leading coefficient is $r/d!$ where $r = r(\mathcal{E})$ is an integer which is called the rank of $\mathcal{E}$. A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is of pure dimension $d = d(\mathcal{E})$ if for any non-zero subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, we have that $d(\mathcal{E}) = d(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 8.2. A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is p -semistable (resp. p -stable) if it is of pure dimension, and if for any subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, there exists N such that for $n \geq N$

$$\frac{p(\mathcal{F}, n)}{r(\mathcal{F})} \leq \frac{p(\mathcal{E}, n)}{r(\mathcal{E})}.$$

As usual, a p -semistable sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ admits a filtration by subsheaves

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}$$

such that the quotient sheaves $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ are p -stable. This filtration is not unique, but $gr(\mathcal{E}) = \oplus \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ is. Two p -semistable sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ are s -equivalent if $gr(\mathcal{E}) = gr(\mathcal{E}')$.

Simpson also extends the above definition to the following relative version.

8.3. Let S be a base scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and $X \rightarrow S$ a projective scheme over S . Fix a (Hilbert) polynomial P of degree d . A p -semistable (resp. stable) sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on X/S with Hilbert polynomial P is a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on X , flat over S , such that for each closed point $s \rightarrow S$, $\mathcal{E}_s$ is a p -semistable (resp. stable) sheaf of pure dimension d and Hilbert polynomial P on the fiber X_s .

8.4. *Hilbert schemes and Grassmannians.* As above, let $X \rightarrow S$ be a projective scheme over S with a relatively very ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Fix a (Hilbert) polynomial $P(n)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ is a coherent sheaf on X flat over S . The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{W}, P)$ parametrizing quotients

$$\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

with Hilbert polynomial P . The fiber of $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{W}, P)$ over a closed point $s \in S$ is $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{W}_s, P)$.

Grothendieck gives some very explicit relative projective embeddings of $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{W}, P)$ over S . There is an $M > 0$ such that for any $m \geq M$ we get a closed embedding

$$\psi_m : \text{Hilb}(\mathcal{W}, P) \rightarrow \text{Grass}(H^0(X/S, \mathcal{W}(m)), P(m)).$$

There is a canonical invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_m$ on the relative Grassmannian by the embedding ψ_m . Over any point in the Grassmannian represented by the quotient $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, the restriction of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_m$ is canonically

identified with the $\wedge^{P(m)} H^0(X/S, \mathcal{F}(m))$. These invertible sheaves are the ones we shall use in place of the relatively ample line bundles M in our various theorems in RGIT (§§3 and 4).

8.5. *The construction of the moduli spaces of p -semistable coherent sheaves.* Fix a large number N . Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{O}_X(-N)$ and $V = \mathbb{C}^{P(N)}$. Let $Q_1 \subset \text{Hilb}(V \otimes \mathcal{W}, P)$ denote the open subset of p -semistable sheaves of pure dimension d . We can assume that N is chosen large enough so that: every p -semistable coherent sheaf with Hilbert polynomial P appears as a quotient corresponding to a point of Q_1 . Now set Q_2 equal to the open subset in Q_1 such that $\alpha : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow H^0(X/S, \mathcal{E}(N))$ is isomorphism where α is a morphism such that the sections in the image of α generate $\mathcal{E}(N)$. The group $SL(V)$ acts on $\text{Hilb}(V \otimes \mathcal{W}, P)$ and the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_m$. The open subset Q_2 is invariant under this action.

Let $\mathbf{M}_X^\sharp(\mathcal{O}_X, P)$ be the functor for the moduli problem of s -equivalence classes of p -semistable coherent sheaves on X of pure dimension d , Hilbert polynomial P , and flat over S .

Theorem 8.6. (C. Simpson. [28]) *Q_2 is contained in the semistable locus $\text{Hilb}(V \otimes \mathcal{W}, P)^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_m)$ with respect to the action of $SL(V)$ and the linearized line bundle $\mathcal{L}_m$ ($m \geq M$). And the categorical quotient $\mathbf{M}_X(\mathcal{O}_X, P) = Q_2/SL(V)$ is a projective scheme over S which coarsely represents the moduli functor $\mathbf{M}_X^\sharp(\mathcal{O}_X, P)$.*

We also need to recall Gieseker's construction of $\overline{M}_g$.

8.7. *Gieseker's construction of $\overline{M}_g$.* Fix $g \geq 2$, $e = n(2g - 2)$ ($n \geq 10$), $I = e - g$, and a polynomial in x , $p(x) = ex - g + 1$. Set the following Hilbert scheme of subschemes in $\mathbb{P}^I$

$$\text{Hilb}_I^{p(x)} := \{\text{subschemes in } \mathbb{P}^I \text{ with Hilbert polynomial } p(x)\}.$$

The group of projective linear transformations $PGL(I + 1)$ acts on $\text{Hilb}_I^{p(x)}$ naturally. For the reason of lifting to a linear action, we take $G = SL(I + 1)$. Now consider the locus H_g of n -canonical stable curves in $\text{Hilb}_I^{p(x)}$, that is,

$$H_g = \{[i_{\omega^n}(C)] \in \text{Hilb}_I^{p(x)}\}$$

where C is a DM-stable curve, $i_{\omega^n} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^I$ is the embedding induced by the n th power of the canonical line bundle ω over C , and $[i_{\omega^n}(C)]$ is the corresponding Hilbert point of the n -canonical curve $i_{\omega^n}(C)$. H_g is a G -invariant, irreducible, nonsingular subscheme of $\text{Hilb}_I^{p(x)}$.

By [9], there can be chosen a $SL(I + 1)$ -linearization on the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_I^{p(x)}$ such that

1. H_g is contained in the stable locus;
2. H_g is closed in the semistable locus; and
3. the GIT quotient $H_g/SL(I + 1)$ is the moduli space $\overline{M}_g$.

For preciseness, we take $e = 10(2g - 2)$ and $I = 10(2g - 2) - g$, once and for all.

8.8. *The construction of the universal moduli space $\mathbf{M}_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$.* Let $\widehat{U}_g$ be the universal curve over H_g . Consider $X = \widehat{U}_g \rightarrow H_g = S$ as a projective scheme over the base scheme $S = H_g$. Fix a Hilbert polynomial $P(x) = rx + d + r(1 - g)$. By Simpson, we get the coarse moduli space $\mathbf{M}_X(\mathcal{O}_X, P)$ over the base scheme $S = H_g$ of p -semistable coherent sheaves (of pure dimension 1) with the Hilbert polynomial $P(x) = rx + d + r(1 - g)$. The moduli space $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{O}_X, P)$ (as a projective scheme over $S = H_g$) is constructed as the GIT quotient of Q_2/S by the group $SL(V) = SL(P(N))$ (see 8.5 and Theorem 8.6).

Theorem 8.9. *Fix the Hilbert polynomial $P(x) = rx + d + r(1 - g)$. The projective categorical quotient $\mathbf{M}_g(\mathcal{O}, P) = (Q_2/H_g)/(SL(V) \times SL(I+1))$ exists and factors naturally to $\overline{M}_g$ such that over each point $[C] \in \overline{M}_g$ the fiber is canonically identified with the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_C(\mathcal{O}_C, P)$ of p -semistable coherent sheaves (of pure dimension 1) with the Hilbert polynomial P modulo the automorphism group of C .*

Proof. Consider the map $\pi : Q_2/H_g \rightarrow H_g$ equivariant with respect to the projection $\rho : SL(V) \times SL(I+1) \rightarrow SL(I+1)$. For H_g we use a linearization L as found by Gieseker (see 8.7), while for Q_2/H_g we use the linearization $\pi^*L^k \otimes \mathcal{L}_m$ (for $k \gg 0$ and some sufficiently large m , see 8.4). Now the theorem follows from Theorem 4.7 or Theorem 4.9. $\square$

Remark 8.10. That is, every point of the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ represents an equivalence class of pairs $(C, \mathcal{E})$ up to automorphism group of C , where C is a Mumford-Deligne stable curve and $\mathcal{E}$ is a p -semistable coherent sheaf of pure dimension 1 over C with the Hilbert polynomial $P(x) = rx + d + r(1 - g)$. When $P(x) = x + n + 1 - g$ the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ is a compactification of the universal Picard P_g^n . It would be interesting to compare our moduli spaces $\mathbf{M}_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ with those in [5] and [25].

9. THE UNIVERSAL HILBERT SCHEME Hilb_g^n

In this last section, we will give a GIT construction of the universal Hilbert scheme Hilb_g^n over $\overline{M}_g$ of 0-dimensional subschemes of length n on Mumford-Deligne stable curves and a canonical morphism from Hilb_g^n to the compactified universal Picard $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ where $P(x) = x + n + 1 - g$.

9.1. Let $U_g \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ be the (fake) universal curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\overline{M}_g$. U_g has an obvious GIT construction as the quotient $\widehat{U}_g/SL(I+1)$ by our theorems for G -equivariancy RGIT (see 8.8 for the definition of $\widehat{U}_g$). Set $\text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ to be the relative Hilbert scheme over $\overline{M}_g$ of relatively 0-dimensional subschemes in U_g of length n . Then the fiber of Hilb_g^n over a

point $[C] \in \overline{M}_g$ is the Hilbert scheme Hilb_C^n of 0-dimensional subschemes in C of length n modulo the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$ (we will give a GIT construction of $\text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ in the sequel).

Theorem 9.2. *Let $P(x) = x + n + 1 - g$. Then there exists a natural dominating morphism ψ from Hilb_g^n to $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hilb}_g^n & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_g(\mathcal{O}, P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{M}_g & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \overline{M}_g \end{array}$$

Proof. To construct this morphism scheme-theoretically, we first need to give a GIT construction of Hilb_g^n using our theory on G -equivariancy RGIT.

Recall that $\overline{M}_g$ is constructed as a GIT quotient of a smooth irreducible scheme H_g by the linear transformation $SL(I+1)$ (8.7). Let $\widehat{U}_g$ be the universal family over H_g . Set $\widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n \rightarrow H_g$ to be the relative Hilbert scheme over H_g of relatively 0-dimensional subschemes in $\widehat{U}_g$ of length n . The group $SL(I+1)$ operates on $\widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n$ by moving the subschemes. Theorems 3.11 and 3.13 imply that the GIT quotient $\widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n / SL(I+1)$ exists and factors naturally to $H_g / SL(I+1) = \overline{M}_g$ with the fiber at a point $[C] \in \overline{M}_g$ isomorphic to $\text{Hilb}_C^n / \text{Aut}(C)$. The quotient $\widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n / SL(I+1)$ is our universal Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$.

Now given any relatively 0-dimensional subschemes Z in $\widehat{U}_g$ of length n . We can get a coherent sheaf of rank 1 and pure dimension 1, $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}_g/H_g}(Z) = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}_g/H_g}(-Z)^* = (I_Z)^*$. This leads to a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n &\longrightarrow Q_2/H_g \\ \varphi : Z &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{U}_g/H_g}(Z) \end{aligned}$$

(see 8.5 for the definition of Q_2). By passing the the quotient we get

$$\varphi' : \widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n \rightarrow Q_2/H_g \rightarrow (Q_2/H_g)/(SL(V) \times SL(I+1)) = M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$$

where $P = x + n + 1 - g$. One checks that φ' is constant on the $SL(I+1)$ -orbits. By the universality of categorical quotient, we obtain a canonical morphism

$$\psi : \text{Hilb}_g^n = \widehat{\text{Hilb}}_g^n / SL(I+1) \longrightarrow M_g(\mathcal{O}, P).$$

Both Hilb_g^n and $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ are projective and ψ maps surjectively to the universal Picard over nonsingular curves of genus g . Hence ψ is dominating. $\square$

Remark 9.3. We do not know if the morphism $\psi : \text{Hilb}_g^n \rightarrow M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ (or its variants) can be useful in the study of limit linear series for stable curves (cf. [7]). We do not know if there exists a canonical morphism from the universal FM configuration space over $\overline{M}_g$ to $M_g(\mathcal{O}, P)$ so that Theorem 9.2 holds. The universal FM configuration space $\overline{FM}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$ can be constructed by RGIT as follows. Again let $\widehat{U}_g \rightarrow H_g$ be the universal family of curves of genus g . Let $\widehat{U}_g[n] \rightarrow H_g$ be the relative FM configuration space over H_g (cf. [26]). Then the action of $SL(I+1)$ lifts to a canonical action on $\widehat{U}_g[n]$. Now applying Theorem 4.8 to $\widehat{U}_g[n] \rightarrow H_g$ and taking the quotients by the group $G = SL(I+1)$, we obtain the universal FM configuration space

$$\overline{FM}_{g,n} = \widehat{U}_g[n]/SL(I+1) \rightarrow H_g/SL(I+1) = \overline{M}_g$$

whose fiber at a stable curve $[C]$ is isomorphic to $C[n]/\text{Aut}(C)$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique*, Publ. de l'Inst. des Hautes Etudes Sci. Referred as EGA.
2. D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1994. Referred as GIT.
3. M. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), 1-15.
4. A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. **98** (1973), 480-497.
5. L. Caporaso, *A compactification of the universal Picard varieties over the moduli space of stable curves*, J. AMS **7** (1994), 589-660.
6. I. Dolgachev and Y. Hu, *Variation of Geometric Invariant Theory Quotients*, (1993) Publications Mathématiques I.H.E.S. (to appear).
7. D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Limit linear series: Basic theory*, Inven. Math. **85** (1986), 337-371.
8. I. Gelfand, R. MacPherson, *Geometry in Grassmannians and a generalization of the dilogarithm*, Advances in Mathematics **44** (1982), no. 3, 279-312.
9. D. Gieseker, *Lectures on moduli space of curves*, Springer-Verlag, Tata Institute (1982).
10. Y. Hu *The geometric and topology of quotient varieties of torus actions*, Duke Math. Journal **68** (1992), 151-183.
11. ———, *On G-varieties and their Kähler quotients*, preprint (1994).
12. ———, *Relative quotient spaces via Kollár's approaches*, in preparation.
13. M. Kapovich and J. Millson, *On the moduli space of polygons in the Euclidean plane*, preprint (1994).
14. ———, *Symplectic geometry of polygons in the Euclidean space*, preprint (1994).
15. Y. Karshon and S. Tollman, *The moment map and line bundles over pre-symplectic toric manifolds*, Jour. Diff. Geom.
16. G. Kempf and L. Ness, *The length of vectors in representation spaces*, in "Algebraic geometry, Copenhagen 1978", Lecture Notes in Math. **732** (1979), Springer-Verlag, pp.233-243.
17. F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1984.
18. A. Klyachko, *Spatial polygons and stable configurations of points in the projective plane*, Algebraic geometry and its applications (Yaroslavl) (1992), 67-84.
19. J. Kollár, *Projectivity of complete moduli*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990), 235-268.

20. ———, *Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms*, Lectures at Utah Summer Institute, Park City, 1993.
21. ———, *Push forward and base change for open immersions*, Lectures at Utah Summer Workshop on Moduli of Surfaces (1994).
22. ———, *Quotient spaces modulo algebraic groups*, preprint (1995).
23. ———, *Algebraic groups acting on schemes*, preprint (1995).
24. J.Marsden, A.Weinstein, *Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry*, Reports on Math. Physics **5** (1974), 121-130.
25. R. Pandharipande, *A compactification over $\overline{M}_g$ of the universal moduli space of slope-semistable vector bundles*, preprint (1994), Univ. of Chicago.
26. R. Pandharipande, *A geometric invariant theory compactification of $M_{g,n}$ via the Fulton-MacPherson configuration space*, preprint (1993).
27. Z. Reichstein, *Stability and equivariant maps*, Inven. Math. **96** (1989), 349-383.
28. C. Simpson, *Moduli spaces of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety, I and II*, preprint (1994).
29. E. Vieweg, *Quasi-projective moduli of polarized manifolds*, Springer-Verlag (1995).

ABSTRACT. We expose in detail the principle that the relative geometric invariant theory of equivariant morphisms is related to the GIT for linearizations near the boundary of the G -effective ample cone. We then apply this principle to construct and reconstruct various universal moduli spaces. In particular, we constructed the universal moduli space over $\overline{M}_g$ of Simpson's p -semistable coherent sheaves and a canonical dominating morphism from the universal Hilbert scheme over $\overline{M}_g$ to a compactified universal Picard.

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Electronic mail: yihu@math.lsa.umich.edu